

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

NOV 0 9 200 6

In re Application of

Deem et al.

Application No. 10/630,473 : LETTER REGARDING

Filed: July 29, 2003 : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Atty Docket No. 38349-0103H

This letter is in response to the "LETTER REGARDING PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO COMMENT 43 OF THE FINAL RULE" filed September 8, 2006.

The request for correction of the initial determination of patent term adjustment (PTA) is **DISMISSED**.

Applicants request review of the patent term adjustment calculations as it pertains to the Office's withdrawal of issuance, after payment of the Issue Fee, and the subsequent mailing of Interview Summary and second Notice of Allowance.

As stated in comment 43 of the Final Rule, practitioners are under a duty to disclose to the Office an error in their favor in the calculation of patent term adjustment. For example, if a registered practitioner receives determination that the application is eligible for a 1,500 day adjustment and the practitioner is not sure exactly what the adjustment should be, but believes that the adjustment should be 1,000 days, the practitioner does have a duty to disclose the error to the Office, regardless of whether the issue fee is paid using the Office-generated form (PTOL-85B) or an attorney-generated equivalent. In order to comply with this duty and where the correct adjustment is thought to be less than indicated by the Office, an application for term adjustment under 1.705(b) need not be filed. Instead, a letter could be filed with the issue

fee payment, indicating that the term adjustment is thought to be longer than appropriate.

Practitioner's disclosure has been considered. However, the circumstances of the withdrawal from issuance do not warrant reduction of the patent term adjustment. By Notice mailed March 20, 2006, the Office withdrew the application from issuance under 37 CFR 1.313 to permit reopening of prosecution, due to newly discovered references. On June 19, 2006, the Office mailed a new Notice of Allowance and Interview Summary. Such action by the Office does not warrant reduction of the patent term adjustment. Further, with respect to this event, the record does not indicate that applicant took any action warranting reduction of patent term adjustment.

In view thereof, it is concluded that the determination of PTA at the time of the mailing of the notice of allowance of ZERO (0) days is correct.

As this letter was submitted as an advisement to the Office of an error in applicant's favor, the Office will not assess the \$200.00 fee under 37 CFR 1.18(e). The Office thanks applicants for their good faith and candor in bringing this to the attention of the Office.

The Office of Patent Publication has been advised of this decision. The application is, thereby, forwarded to the Office of Patent Publication for issuance of the application.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3219.

Nancy Johnson

Serior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Copy of adjusted PAIR calculation

Day : Monday Date: 11/13/2006



PALM INTRANET

Time: 15:55:27

PTA Calculations for Application: 10/630473						
Application Filing Date: 07.	/29/2003	PTO Delay (PTO):	0			
Issue Date of Patent:		Three Years:	0			
Pre-Issue Petitions: 0		Applicant Delay (APPL):	101			
Post-Issue Petitions: 0		Total PTA (days):	0			
PTO Delay Adjustment: 0						

File Contents History						
Number	Date	Contents Description	PTO	APPL	START	
64	06/19/2006	MAIL NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE				
63	06/19/2006	MAIL EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT				
62	06/19/2006	MAIL EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY (PTOL - 413)	į			
61	06/19/2006	MAIL EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY (PTOL - 413)				
60	03/21/2006	EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD (PTOL - 413)				
59	03/20/2006	EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD (PTOL - 413)				
58	06/14/2006	EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT COMMUNICATION				
57	06/14/2006	CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU				
56	03/20/2006	ISSUE REVISION COMPLETED				
55	03/23/2006	FINISHED INITIAL DATA CAPTURE				
54	03/20/2006	NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE DATA VERIFICATION COMPLETED				
53	03/20/2006	NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY				
52	03/20/2006	DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER				
51	03/20/2006	WITHDRAWAL OF NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE				
50	03/17/2006	APPLICATION IS CONSIDERED READY FOR ISSUE				
49	03/01/2006	ISSUE FEE PAYMENT VERIFIED				
48	03/01/2006	ISSUE FEE PAYMENT RECEIVED				
47	02/27/2006	WORKFLOW - QUERY REQUEST - FINISH				
46	02/06/2006	MAILING CORRECTED NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY				
45	02/03/2006	CORRECTED NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY				
44	01/19/2006	PUBS CASE REMAND TO TC				
			11	ll -	II ———————————————————————————————————	

43	ll01/26/2006l	WORKFLOW - QUERY REQUEST - BEGIN		1	. 1
42		EXPORT TO INITIAL DATA CAPTURE			
41		MAIL NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE			
40		ISSUE REVISION COMPLETED			
39		NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE DATA VERIFICATION COMPLETED			
38		NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY			
37		DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER			
36		RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION		5	35
35		MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION			
34		NON-FINAL REJECTION			
33		REFERENCE CAPTURE ON IDS			
32	02/11/2005	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED			
31	06/01/2005	DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER			
30		RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION		86	26
29	05/25/2005	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - GRANTED			
28	09/17/2004	REFERENCE CAPTURE ON IDS			
27	09/17/2004	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED		10	23
26	11/30/2004	MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION			
25		NON-FINAL REJECTION			
24	09/27/2004	DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER			
23	09/07/2004	RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION			
22	09/07/2004	WORKFLOW INCOMING AMENDMENT IFW			
21	06/24/2004	CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS CHANGE			
20	06/16/2004	MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION			
19	06/14/2004	NON-FINAL REJECTION			
18	04/05/2004	MISCELLANEOUS INCOMING LETTER			
17	04/26/2004	IFW TSS PROCESSING BY TECH CENTER COMPLETE			
16		CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU			
15	03/20/2004	IFW TSS PROCESSING BY TECH CENTER COMPLETE			
14	11/18/2003	REQUEST FOR FOREIGN PRIORITY (PRIORITY PAPERS MAY BE INCLUDED)			
13	11/18/2003	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED			

12	07/29/2003	NEW OR ADDITIONAL DRAWING FILED		
10	10/24/2003	APPLICATION RETURN FROM OIPE		
9	10/24/2003	APPLICATION RETURN TO OIPE		
8	10/24/2003	APPLICATION RETURN FROM OIPE		
7	10/24/2003	APPLICATION RETURN TO OIPE		
6	10/23/2003	APPLICATION DISPATCHED FROM OIPE		
5	10/24/2003	APPLICATION IS NOW COMPLETE		
4	10/13/2003	CASE CLASSIFIED BY L&R		
3	10/13/2003	CLEARED BY OIPE CSR		
2	09/10/2003	IFW SCAN & PACR AUTO SECURITY REVIEW		
1	07/29/2003	INITIAL EXAM TEAM NN		

Search Another: Application#

Search

EXPLANATION OF PTA CALCULATION

EXPLANATION OF PTE CALCULATION

To go back use Back button on your browser toolbar.

Back to PALM | ASSIGNMENT | OASIS | Home page